

Fermi-Bose Cancellation in Topologically Non-Trivial Backgrounds

Zvonimir Hlousek*

Department of Physics and Astronomy
California State University, Long Beach
Long Beach, CA 90840 USA

Donald Spector†

Department of Physics, Eaton Hall
Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Geneva, NY 14456 USA

We show in a model-independent way that, in the background of a topological soliton or instanton that saturates a Bogomol'nyi bound, the fermion and boson excitation spectra of non-zero modes cancel at the one-loop level. This generalizes D'Adda and DiVecchia's result for some specific instanton models. Our method also establishes, again in a model-independent way, the generality of the connection between zero modes in topologically non-trivial backgrounds and index theorems.

* hlousek@beach.csulb.edu

† spectator@hws.edu

1. Introduction

Some years ago, D’Adda and DiVecchia showed that there was a fermi-bose cancellation when considering from the excitation spectrum around a self-dual or anti-self-dual Yang-Mills instanton field background [1]. They found that, except for zero modes, scalars and spinors in the same representation of the gauge group had exactly the right spectra to cancel at the one loop level, as did spinors and vectors.

Their paper showed this result was true in two particular models, but gave no indication as to whether it was an accident of those particular models or a consequence of some more general field theoretic properties. In this letter, we will show that the latter possibility obtains, that there are general reasons why Bogomol’nyi-saturating topologically non-trivial backgrounds produce such a cancellation.

The occurrence of a cancellation between fermionic and bosonic modes signals a supersymmetric explanation, and that is exactly what we present in this paper. The reader should note, however, that the explanation is valid for non-supersymmetric theories with solitons or instantons; it is only our method that invokes supersymmetry, but, as we will show, the results are valid quite generically in supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric field theories alike.

The essence of our argument is as follows. If one wishes to understand the one-loop excitation spectrum in a theory, it is sufficient to study any theory that is equivalent at the one-loop level. (Working at one-loop means working with linearized field equations.) We will identify a supersymmetric theory which agrees with our original theory to one loop. In that theory, then, we will be able to use supersymmetry to relate the bosonic and fermionic excitations in the soliton or instanton background. Since the theories are identical at one loop, if we can use supersymmetry to obtain the cancellation in the supersymmetric case, we automatically obtain the cancellation in the non-supersymmetric case.

We will first make an observation or two about the Yang-Mills case; then we will take up the general problem, treating solitons first and instantons second. We note too that, to simplify the terminology, we will sometimes use “soliton” and “instanton” to mean “topological soliton” and “topological instanton,” respectively.

2. Yang-Mills and D'Adda-DiVecchia

Consider $3+1$ dimensional Yang-Mills theory. As is well-known, the theory possesses topologically non-trivial field configurations known as instantons [2], and in addressing gauge theories non-perturbatively, understanding physics in an instanton background is essential [3]. It is straightforward to see that, in an appropriate normalization, the Euclidean action is bounded from below by the magnitude of the instanton number, and that (anti)self-dual gauge fields saturate such a bound.

In [1], the authors studied the equations of motion in the background of a self-dual Yang-Mills instanton. For scalars φ , spinors ψ , and vectors A_μ , respectively, they found that the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu D^\mu \varphi &= -\eta_s \varphi \\ i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi &= \eta_f \psi \\ D_\mu F_{\mu\nu} + [F_{\nu\mu}^0, A_\mu] &= -\eta_A A \end{aligned}$$

(where D_μ is the gauge covariant derivative in the instanton background, and $F_{\nu\mu}^0$ is the field strength of the instanton background) give rise to a essentially the same set of eigenvalues. In particular, if φ and ψ are in the same representation of the gauge group, then for each non-zero eigenvalue η_f for the spinor equation, there is a corresponding solution of the bosonic equation with eigenvalue $\eta_s = \eta_f^2$. This in turn guarantees that the non-zero modes of the scalar and the spinor cancel at the one loop level with suitable matter content (that is, if there are as many scalar as spinor degrees of freedom).

Note that [1] also found a corresponding relation between the fermion and vector non-zero modes, provided the fermion is, like the vector field, in the adjoint representation. All the results in [1] were obtained by explicit calculation.

We offer here another way to understand these findings, one that is of a more algebraic nature. This explanation is meant to motivate the model-independent arguments that appear in the subsequent sections.

Consider supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory coupled to matter. In $3+1$ dimensional supersymmetry, one has left- and right-handed supercharges, Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$, respectively. Any gauge field strength can be decomposed into its self-dual and anti-self-dual parts, $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and $F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$. It is a simple calculation to see that

$$[Q_\alpha, F_{\alpha\beta}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}] = 0 \quad . \quad (2.2)$$

Without loss of generality, let us consider the self-dual background.

Suppose we have a supersymmetric gauge theory, with gluon A_μ and gluino λ both in the adjoint representation, and matter fields in some representation R and labeled by a scalar φ and a spinor ψ . To one-loop order, the equations of motion for these various fields are of exactly the same form as (2.1), namely

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu D^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi &= -\eta_s \varphi \\ (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\psi &= \eta_f \psi \\ i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda &= \eta_\lambda \lambda \\ D_\mu F_{\mu\nu} + [F_{\nu\mu}^0, A_\mu] &= -\eta_A A \quad . \end{aligned}$$

To this order, only the minimal couplings to the gauge fields matter; Yukawa couplings and terms in the scalar potential other than mass terms are irrelevant. Note that this means that in the massless limit for the matter fields, the linear equations of motion are the same for all theories with matter fields in the same representations, regardless of whatever additional couplings there might be.

Now suppose we have a solution to, say, the scalar equation of motion, with eigenvalue η_s . A finite supersymmetry transformation with Q_α leaves the background invariant, while “rotating” the φ field into a ψ field. Since supersymmetry is an invariance of the theory, the resulting spinor field configuration will also be a solution to the equations of motion.

What does this mean for the eigenvalues η_f ? Strictly speaking, because of the γ^μ in the ψ equation in (2.1) and (2.3), the linearized fermion equation is not an eigenvalue equation, as the chiralities of the two sides differ. On the other hand, repeated iteration *does* produce an eigenvalue equation for a single chirality of ψ , so it must be that $\eta_f^2 = \eta_s$ in this particular case. In other words, the η_s values must be the squares of the η_f values in (2.1). (In the presence

A similar relation would hold between the gluino and gluon spectra, for exactly the same reason.

In this way, we see that the spectra of scalar and spinor excitations, and of the spinor and vector excitations, about a self-dual background cancel in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. This result by itself is not terribly surprising. However, the key observation is this: the equations for the excitation spectrum to one-loop order are identical for any minimally coupled gauge theory, depending only on the mass and the gauge group representation. Matter self-interactions have no effect on these equations. So, the bose-fermi cancellation

of the excitation spectrum to one-loop order in the supersymmetric case (which we can prove by taking advantage of supersymmetry) automatically implies the corresponding cancellation in the non-supersymmetric case.

What is different about the zero modes (which of course arise only for massless fields)? A field configuration of a zero mode plus the self-dual instanton solution still saturates the Bogomol'nyi bound. As a consequence, the supercharge that leaves the background unchanged also annihilates the zero mode. Thus there is no way to use supersymmetry to rotate one zero mode into another. In fact, it is exactly this reasoning that enables us to connect the number of zero modes to the index of the charge Q_α , as the zero modes are those non-zero field configurations in the instanton background that are annihilated by Q_α . And, since the zero modes of the instanton are linked to the index of an operator to one-loop order in the supersymmetric theory, they are linked to the index of that operator to one-loop order in the large range of non-supersymmetric theories that have the same one-loop field equations.

The above results are, in the case of Yang-Mills theory, well-known. However, our explanation, through the use of the superalgebra and in a way that connects the non-supersymmetric cases to the supersymmetric results, lays the groundwork for a generic approach to this question. Indeed, the same structure presented here in this case appears quite generically in a range of soliton and instanton models. In the remainder of this paper, we obtain just such a model-independent argument for these features of the excitation spectra in Bogomol'nyi-saturating backgrounds.

3. General Argument: Solitons

While we have discussed the Yang-Mills instanton above, as it is perhaps the most familiar case, for the purposes of our general argument we will begin first with soliton backgrounds, and then generalize our results to instanton backgrounds.

Our goal is to understand in a model-independent way the cancellation between bosonic and fermionic excitations about Bogomol'nyi-saturating solitons at the one-loop level. Our approach will be as follows. Suppose we have a theory that has non-trivial soliton field configurations among its solutions. We wish to consider the one-loop boson and fermion excitation spectra. Our plan will be to link this theory to a supersymmetric theory, and then to use algebraic methods in the supersymmetric theory to understand the excitation spectrum. Since the theories will have the same one-loop physics, the results

for the excitation spectrum in the supersymmetric theory will automatically imply the identical results for the original (non-supersymmetric) theory.

For simplicity, for concreteness we will refer at points to a scalar φ and a spinor ψ , but any fields that can be superpartners would work for the discussion that ensues here.

So suppose we have a generic theory with topologically non-trivial soliton field configurations among its solutions. In [4] and [5], it is established that topological solitons in three or more spacetime dimensions will exhibit Bogomol'nyi bounds. Thus we will take it that we are in such a situation, in the presence of a Bogomol'nyi-saturating soliton.

We now wish to link this theory to a supersymmetric theory. In [5], it is shown that there is necessarily a supersymmetric extension to a theory with solitons. However, we need a slightly different result here. Let us truncate the theory we are studying to the one-loop level. This theory will have solitons, still, since a topological charge is conserved without reference to the equations of motion, and will also have fields φ and ψ . We now require that there be a supersymmetric extension of this truncated theory in which φ and ψ are superpartners. Of course, this means, for example, that these fields must have the same (possibly zero) mass. We will refer to this supersymmetric theory as the associated supersymmetric theory of the original theory.¹

Our goal then is to show that the bose-fermi cancellation occurs in the associated supersymmetric theory, and then that this occurs as well in the original theory.

The study of topological solitons in supersymmetric theories was pushed forward by [6], which identified some theories in which solitons appeared to be associated with extended superalgebras. This phenomenon was ultimately explained in a general setting, independent of particular models, in [7] and [5]. These papers demonstrated that any theory with $N = 1$ supersymmetry and a topologically conserved charge in $2 + 1$ or more dimensions automatically is endowed with a richer supersymmetry invariance, namely invariance under centrally extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry in which the topological charge is the central charge. This result followed from considering the superfield that includes the potential for the conserved topological current [8].

¹ Because of the large variety of possible superfield representations, especially when considering different dimensions, it is not feasible to give a generic construction of the associated supersymmetric theory. However, in all typical cases with which we are familiar, such as Yang-Mills theory and sigma models, it is quite simple and straightforward to find the associated supersymmetric theory, with no obstacles to this construction. Thus the existence of associated supersymmetric theory seems something one can rely on.

The consequences of this extended superalgebra are significant. On algebraic grounds alone, one can show that the energy of such solitons is bounded from below by their topological charge (in an appropriate normalization which the algebra determines), and that the solitons which saturate such a bound are annihilated by one linear combination of the supersymmetries. Thus the states in the theory for the most part come in conventional $N = 2$ multiplets; but the states that saturate this Bogomol'nyi-type bound appear in reduced multiplets, which look like $N = 1$ multiplets and which, most importantly for our purposes, are annihilated by half the superalgebra (see [9]).

So imagine that we have constructed the associated supersymmetric theory. It is perhaps worth noting that since the topological current of the original theory is conserved without reference to the equations of motion (this is what is meant by a topological current), it remains a conserved current in the associated supersymmetric theory; the agreement of the theories to the one-loop level also means that, to the necessary accuracy, the energy of the soliton is the same in both theories.

We can now obtain the fermi-bose cancellation in the solitonic background in the associated supersymmetric theory. Suppose we have a Bogomol'nyi-saturating soliton in this theory. We now consider an excitation of the scalar field φ that satisfies the one-loop equations of motion with eigenvalue η_s . We know from the $N = 2$ superalgebra that there is a supercharge that annihilates the Bogomol'nyi-saturating soliton; let us call this supercharge Q (suppressing a spinor index). Consider applying Q to the field configuration consisting of the soliton and the scalar excitation. Since Q annihilates the soliton but maps φ into ψ , if we consider a finite supertransformation generated by Q , we will leave the soliton background unchanged, and, since the equations of motion are invariant under the superalgebra of the theory, we will therefore map a scalar solution with this particular soliton background into a fermionic solution for the exact same background.

Thus the bosonic and fermionic solutions are paired. Since the one-loop equations are the same as in the original theory, the solutions are paired in that original theory, too, even though it has not supersymmetric.

Let us return to the associated supersymmetric theory and consider the eigenvalues. Because fermions are represented by spinors, the fermion equation of motion typically needs to be iterated twice to get the actual physical eigenvalues. (This phenomenon is not special to our situation; note that the mass term for a fermion has one power of the mass, while that for a boson has two.) Thus the equation of motion obtained through the variation $\delta S/\delta\psi$ will yield an equation of the form $\hat{\Omega}\psi = \eta_f\psi$ that will mix fermionic

components; iterating $\hat{\Omega}$ till we obtain an equation that does not mix components—which will yield the relation that $\eta_f^2 = \eta_s$.

Alternatively, if one wishes to think in a functional language, consider the determinants that arise in the one-loop functional integral. These are the determinants $\delta^2 S / \delta \psi^2$ and $\delta^2 S / \delta \varphi^2$, where S is the action of the associated supersymmetric theory. Since S is invariant under supersymmetry transformations, since the soliton background is also invariant under a supersymmetry transformation, and since that supersymmetry transformation pairs φ with ψ , these determinants will have to cancel in the functional integral. This is precisely what the condition $\eta_f^2 = \eta_s$ ensures.

Thus in the associated supersymmetric theory, supersymmetry has guaranteed that the bosonic and fermionic excitations will cancel at the one-loop level. Since this theory and the original theory have the same one-loop equations of motion, this means that the bose-fermi cancellation occurs in the original non-supersymmetric theory as well.

How are the zero modes different? These modes still saturate the Bogomol'nyi bound, and so they are annihilated by the same supercharge that annihilates the soliton background. Hence we cannot rotate these solutions into new superpartner solutions to the equations of motion. This also tells us, then, that the zero modes in the soliton background arise from the kernel of the supercharge Q that annihilates the soliton background in the associated supersymmetric theory, and so the zero modes are related to the index of this operator. Since the zero modes in this soliton background are the same at the one-loop level in the original and the associated supersymmetric theory, this means that even in the original theory, the zero modes are associated with the index of the operator Q . This explains the regular appearance of index theorems in understanding the zero modes in topologically non-trivial soliton backgrounds. Of course, the original theory has no conserved supercharge; but the natural appearance of an index theorem interpretation of the zero modes in the soliton background demonstrates the intimate connection between the original theory and its supersymmetric associate.

4. General Argument: Instantons

The generalization of these results to instantons in a model-independent way requires connecting the instanton results to soliton results, rather than directly making a connection to supersymmetric theories with instantons, despite our preliminary discussion of the Yang-Mills case. The reason is straightforward. Solitons are associated with a conserved charge,

and this conserved charge, as we have discussed, gets integrated into the supersymmetry algebra of the associated supersymmetric theory. Consequently, what was previously an argument about equations of motion (model dependent) becomes an argument about algebras and their representations (model independent). Instantons, on the other hand, though they fit into a topological classification, do not correspond to a conserved charge, and so there is no possibility that instanton number will become part of an algebra.

Instead, we follow the guidance of [5], and link the instanton case to the soliton case.

So suppose we have a theory with instantons. This means that we have some Euclidean action in d dimensions that possesses a topological classification of field configurations.

Let us imagine that we add a time dimension, turning this into a $d + 1$ -dimensional Minkowski theory. Of course, this additional dimension may well necessitate additional fields, too, to maintain Lorentz invariance: e.g., vector fields will need an additional component, and fermion representations might need to be enhanced.

Because the original instanton classification in d dimensions was topological, this classification persists in the Minkowski theory, but, because of the additional time dimension, it corresponds to a conserved topological charge in $d + 1$ dimensions. (At each time slice, the instanton classification can be applied, and continuity ensures that time evolution cannot switch the system from one sector to another of this topological classification.)

Thus, the enhanced Minkowski theory has topological solitons. Among these solitons, the static solitons play a special role: these are nothing but the instanton configurations of the original theory.²

Let us now consider the enhanced theory. We know from the preceding section that the bosonic and fermionic excitations in a Bogomol'nyi-saturating soliton background are paired and hence cancel each other. We can refine this pairing a bit further.

Let us consider a static soliton background of the enhanced theory that saturates the Bogomol'nyi bound. This field configuration is automatically an instanton of the original theory, and one that automatically saturates the corresponding Bogomol'nyi action bound of the original Euclidean theory. (See [5] for a more detailed discussion of this connection.) Now consider, in the dimensionally enhanced theory, the time-independent

² To be precise, we single out the soliton configurations that involve only the field components of the original theory, and not the extra components that might have been added. We take this extra detail to be understood.

excitations about this soliton background. The excitations in this subclass are paired and hence cancel among each other at the one-loop level. The reason is that if one constructs the associated supersymmetric theory for this already dimensionally enhanced theory, in the associated supersymmetric theory, supersymmetry maps time-independent excitations into other time-independent excitations. (Recall that supersymmetry commutes with the Hamiltonian, which is the generator of time translations.) Thus not only are the bose and fermi excitations paired as we saw in the previous section, but in fact the time-independent excitations are paired and therefore cancel among themselves.

But this is just what we need. The time-independent excitations about static solitons are paired in the enhanced $d + 1$ -dimensional Minkowski theory; mathematically, these are identical to the excitations about the instantons in the d -dimensional theory, and so these excitations too exhibit a bose-fermi pairing. This in turn means that the one-loop contribution between bosons and fermions in a Bogomol'nyi-saturating instanton background will cancel, except for the zero modes.

Thus the original result of D'Adda and DiVecchia's has finally been obtained in a model independent way. In the specific case of Yang-Mills instantons, this means enhancing the 4-dimensional Euclidean theory to a 4+1-dimensional Minkowski theory, extending this to its associated supersymmetric theory, obtaining the pairing between static excitations in this theory, and then working back down the chain to the original Euclidean theory. The requirement that, in the associated supersymmetric theory of the dimensionally enhanced theory, the topological charge appears as the central charge of an extended superalgebra means that the generic result we have found pairing bosonic and fermionic non-zero modes in Bogomol'nyi-saturated instanton backgrounds will hold in two or more Euclidean dimensions.

Note, too, that if we consider the static excitations in the $d + 1$ -dimensional theory about the static soliton background, the static zero modes are annihilated by the $d + 1$ -dimensional supercharge. Since these form the subset of zero modes that are invariant under time translations (and time translations commute with supersymmetry), we can identify these zero modes by studying the index of the relevant supercharge but only in the subspace of time translation invariant field configurations. This then associates zero modes in a Bogomol'nyi-saturating instanton background with index calculations through a model-independent argument, and thus explains in a general way the connection between such zero modes and index theorems.

5. Conclusions

We have seen that the phenomenon of bose-fermi cancellation in a Bogomol'nyi-saturating background can be understood in a model independent way. Our argument applies to soliton backgrounds in $2 + 1$ or more dimensions, and to instanton backgrounds in two or more Euclidean dimensions. The model independence stems from our ability to use algebraic arguments to analyze the solutions to the equations of motion. We are able to understand non-supersymmetric theories by means of a certain superalgebra because the non-supersymmetric and supersymmetric theories produce the same one-loop equations of motion. This is very much like the use of complex analysis to understand properties of real functions, or the use of supersymmetric Yang-Mills or string theory to calculate ordinary gluon amplitudes. We note too that our methods automatically shed light on the generic appearance of index theorems in understanding the zero modes in such backgrounds.

It is worth noting that in [1], the authors link the excitations of scalars, spinors, and vectors in a Yang-Mills instanton background in four Euclidean dimensions. Our arguments explain this extended degeneracy quite easily. Suppose we follow our chain of argument, enhancing the four Euclidean dimensions to five Minkowski dimensions, adding supersymmetry, and ultimately reducing to four dimensions again. If we reduce immediately from the supersymmetric $4 + 1$ dimensional Minkowski theory to four dimensions (but keep the extra field components that had to be added to maintain $4 + 1$ dimensional Lorentz invariance), we automatically wind up with a four dimensional theory with explicit $N = 2$ supersymmetry. (This $N = 2$ symmetry comes entirely from the explicit $N = 1$ supersymmetry in five dimensions, not from the extended superalgebra that the topological charge produced in that case.) This $N = 2$ supersymmetry in four dimensions allows scalars, spinors, and vectors in the adjoint representation to be grouped into one hypermultiplet. At the linearized level, then, these scalar, spinor, and vector excitations are grouped, can be rotated into each other, and thus have a common set of non-zero eigenvalues in a Bogomol'nyi-saturating background.

This research was supported in part by NSF Grant. No. PHY-9509991.

References

- [1] A. D’Adda and P. DiVecchia, Phys. Lett. 73B (1978) 162.
- [2] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwartz, and Yu.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett B59 (1975) 85;
G. ’t Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8;
G. ’t Hooft, Phys. Rev D14 (1976) 3432;
A.M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B120 (1977) 429.
- [3] S. Coleman, “The Uses of Instantons” in *The Whys of Subnuclear Physics*, A. Zichichi, ed. (Plenum Press, NY, 1979);
R. Rajaraman, *Instantons and Solitons* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [4] Z. Hlousek and D. Spector, Nucl. Phys. B397 (1993) 173.
- [5] Z. Hlousek and D. Spector, Nucl. Phys. B442 (1995) 413.
- [6] D. Olive and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 78 (1978) 97.
- [7] Z. Hlousek and D. Spector, Nucl. Phys. B370 (1992) 143.
- [8] C. Cronström and J. Mickelsson, J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 2528;
R. Jackiw, in *Current Algebra and Anomalies*, S. Treiman, R. Jackiw, B. Zumino, and E. Witten, ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985)
- [9] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, Nucl. Phys. B80 (1974) 499.